

A New Phenomenology for the Disordered Mixed Phase

Gautam I. Menon*

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, C.I.T. Campus,

Taramani, Chennai 600 113, India

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

A universal phase diagram for type-II superconductors with weak point pinning disorder is proposed. In this phase diagram, two thermodynamic phase transitions generically separate a “Bragg glass” from the disordered liquid. Translational correlations in the intervening “multi-domain glass” phase are argued to exhibit a significant degree of short-range order. This phase diagram differs significantly from the currently accepted one but provides a more accurate description of experimental data on high and low- T_c materials, simulations and current theoretical understanding.

PACS:74.60.-w,74.60.Ge,74.60.Ec,74.60.Jg,74.70

Typeset using REVTeX

*Email:menon@imsc.ernet.in

Quenched randomness destabilizes the Abrikosov flux-line lattice in a pure type-II superconductor, yielding novel glassy phases [1]. In the Bragg glass (BrG) phase [2], translational correlations decay asymptotically as power laws. On increasing disorder or temperature, the BrG phase is unstable to a phase in which such correlations decay exponentially [2]. At large values of the applied field H , the disordered liquid (DL) evolves smoothly into a glassy state [3] when the temperature T is reduced. This glassy state may be a new thermodynamic phase, the “vortex glass”, with spin-glass-type correlations in the superconducting order parameter, separated from the disordered liquid by a line of phase transitions [4]. An alternative picture, attractive in many respects, is that this state resembles a structural glass [5], topologically disordered at the largest length scales but lacking long-ranged phase correlations [6].

Fig. 1 summarizes the currently popular view of phase behaviour in the disordered mixed phase of type-II superconductors [2,3,6–9]. The BrG phase is shown to be unstable to the vortex glass on increasing H ; it also melts *directly* into the DL phase upon increasing T . The continuous vortex-glass to DL transition line meets the BrG-DL transition line at a multicritical point [9]. Recent studies [10] indicate that the underlying field-driven Bragg glass-vortex glass transition is first-order.

While this phase diagram *by construction* reflects early experiments on YBCO [3] and BSCCO [9], many systems, among them the low- T_c superconductors 2H-NbSe₂ [11–13], CeRu₂ [13–15] and Ca₃Rh₄Sn₁₃ [16], the cuprate NCCO [17], the bismuthate (K,Ba)BiO₃ [18], the borocarbide YNi₂B₂C [15] and the mercury [19] and thallium [20] based compounds, behave qualitatively differently. Even for the high- T_c systems, more recent experiments [21] suggest features inconsistent with Fig. 1. That these differences imply *qualitatively* different types of phase behaviour is one possibility. Alternatively, such differences might simply reflect different limits of an underlying universal phase diagram; this possibility is both theoretically attractive and economical. It would be sensible, however, to require that Fig. 1 be recovered from such a phase diagram in the appropriate limit.

This Letter proposes Fig. 2 as a universal phase diagram for *all* weakly disordered type-II

superconductors. The term “multi-domain glass” (MG) describes the narrow sliver of glassy phase which we propose *always* intervenes between BrG and DL phases. This sliver expands into the putative “vortex glass” phase [4] of Fig. 1, as H is increased. Experiments indicate that the MG phase melts via a first-order phase transition on T scans at intermediate H ; this first-order line is shown to meet a line of continuous transitions at a tricritical point, although more complex topologies are possible. The inset shows the expected phase behaviour at $H \sim H_{c1}$. The predicted [22] reentrance of the glassy phase at low fields was established in Ref. [23]. Recent experiments [15,24] clearly show the disordered phase enveloping a quasi-lattice phase at small H . Fig. 2 incorporates recent proposals for such phase behaviour in low- T_c superconductors [13], derived from an analysis of peak effect phenomena in these systems [15], the anomalous and sharp *increase* in critical currents j_c , seen over a narrow regime below H_{c2} , the “peak regime”, as H or T is varied.

The “multi-domain” glass is argued to be an *equilibrium* phase, distinct from the vortex glass, consistent with recent theoretical [6], experimental and simulational understanding. Theoretically, screening destroys vortex-glass-type order in three dimensions [25]; recent experiments [26] question earlier reports of vortex-glass scaling. Simulations of *classical* directed lines interacting with quenched point impurities see topologically disordered glassy phases *in equilibrium* in a regime which intervenes between relatively ordered (BrG) and disordered (DL) phases [27]. Arguments for the equilibrium nature of this phase and a brief survey of its glassy attributes in the context of low- T_c materials were provided in Ref. [13].

What symmetry might be broken across the MG-DL transition? A recent study of disordered hard-sphere fluids obtains, within mean-field theory, a one-step replica symmetry breaking glass transition for sufficiently strong disorder; these authors stress the resemblance of the features of the mean-field phase diagram they obtain to Fig. 1 [28]. Should these ideas be applicable to the disordered mixed phase, a *discontinuous* freezing of the disordered density configuration characterizing the glassy phase would be expected, as against relatively *smooth* behaviour of the entropy and internal energy across this transition. An alternative possibility for the MG phase, consistent with some of the proposals here and testable via

an analysis of Bitter decoration patterns, is a hexatic glass [29]; the recent discovery [30] of an equilibrium hexatic phase in a dense system of long oriented DNA molecules favours a similar possibility for line vortices.

We argue that translational correlations in the MG phase can be fairly long-ranged (unlike correlations in typical glassy phases), and propose that structure in the MG phase just above the BrG-MG phase boundary is best described as a mosaic of ordered domains, with typical scale $R_d \gg a$, the inter-line spacing, for weak pinning. R_d should be *largest* in the interaction-dominated regime but should *decrease* rapidly for much larger or smaller H , reflecting the increased importance of disorder both at high-field and low-field ends [13,15,23,31]. Some diagnostics for the multi-domain character of this phase are the following: The transition between BrG and DL phases can occur via several intermediate stages, as individual domains melt as a consequence of disorder-induced inhomogeneities in the melting temperature [32]. Fluctuations of a domain-like arrangement can yield noise signals of large amplitude associated with relatively *few* fluctuators [11,33], intermediate structure in the ac susceptibility as a function of T [13,15], a stepwise expulsion of vortices on cooling [34] and a host of related features [13,35] of the experimental data which are hard to rationalize in other pictures.

The MG-DL transition is interpreted as the true remnant of the underlying freezing transition in the pure system. The presence of a critical point on this transition line [8] follows from the observation that signals of melting should cease to be seen once R_d is comparable to correlation lengths in the pure liquid at freezing. This phenomenology also rationalizes [13] a large body of data on anomalies associated with the peak effect phenomenon [11], including “fracturing” of the flux-line array [12], the association of thermodynamic melting with the transition into the disordered liquid [36] as well as conjectures regarding the dynamic coexistence of ordered and disordered phases in transport measurements in the peak regime [24].

A simple conjecture for the scale of such domain sizes suggests $R_d \sim R_a$, a Larkin length, at least at intermediate H . This length is computed using the coarse-grained free

energy [1] $F_{el} = \int d\mathbf{r}_\perp dz \left[\frac{c_{11}}{2} (\nabla_\perp \cdot \mathbf{u})^2 + \frac{c_{66}}{2} (\nabla_\perp \times \mathbf{u})^2 + \frac{c_{44}}{2} (\partial_z \mathbf{u})^2 \right]$, where $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, z)$ is the displacement field at location $(\mathbf{r}_\perp, z)$ and the integral represents the elastic cost of distortions from the ideal crystalline state with vortex lines centred at $\mathbf{R}_i$, governed by the values of the elastic constants for shear (c_{66}), bulk (c_{11}) and tilt (c_{44}) [1]. Quenched random pinning is incorporated by adding $F_d = \int d\mathbf{r}_\perp dz V_d(\mathbf{r}_\perp, z) \rho(\mathbf{r}_\perp, z)$, to F_{el} , where $\rho(\mathbf{r}_\perp, z) = \sum_i \delta^{(2)}(\mathbf{r}_\perp - \mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{R}_i, z))$. This term models the interaction with a quenched Gaussian disorder potential V_d , assumed to be correlated over ξ , the coherence length *i.e.* $[V_d(x)V_d(x')] = K(x - x')$, with $K(x - x')$ a function of range ξ [6]. The notation x denotes $(\mathbf{r}_\perp, z)$.

The correlator $B(\mathbf{r}_\perp, z) = [\langle \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}_\perp, z) - \mathbf{u}(\mathbf{0}, 0) \rangle^2]$ where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ and $[\cdot]$ denote thermal and disorder averages respectively, has the following properties [6]: For $r_\perp, z \ll R_c, L_c^b$ (the transverse and longitudinal Larkin pinning lengths), correlations behave as in the Larkin “random force” model *i.e.* $B(x) \sim x^{4-d}$. At length scales between R_c and R_a , the Larkin length scale referred to above at which disorder and thermal fluctuation-induced positional fluctuations become of order a , $B(x) \sim x^{2\zeta_{rm}}$ with $\zeta_{rm} \sim (4-d)/6 \sim 1/6$. The Larkin length scale can then be estimated via $R_a \simeq R_c (\frac{a}{\xi})^{1/\zeta_{rm}}$. At still larger length scales, $B(x) \sim \log(x)$ [6].

We estimate the Larkin pinning lengths R_c and L_c^b from $L_c^b = \frac{2\xi^2 c_{66} c_{44}}{nf^2}$, $R_c = \frac{\sqrt{2}\xi^2 c_{66}^{3/2} c_{44}^{1/2}}{nf^2}$, and $V_c \sim R_c^2 L_c$. Equating BjV_c to the energy gain from random pinning $f(nV_c)^{1/2}$ yields the standard weak-pinning expression $j_c = \frac{1}{B} f \left(\frac{n}{V_c} \right)^{1/2}$. If $R_c, L_c^b > \lambda$, $j_c \sim \left(\frac{\xi}{R_c} \right)^2 j_{dp}$ and $L_c^b \sim \frac{\lambda}{a} R_c$ [1], with j_{dp} the depairing current. For 2H-NbSe₂: $T_c \simeq 7K$, $\lambda \simeq 700\text{\AA}$ ($H \parallel c$), $\xi \sim 70\text{\AA}$ and $a \sim 450\text{\AA}$ at $B \sim 1T$. Using $j_c/j_{dp} \sim 10^{-4}$, we obtain transverse and longitudinal Larkin *pinning* lengths $\frac{R_c}{a} \sim 15$ and $\frac{L_c^b}{a} \sim 24$.

At fields $\sim 1T$, $(\frac{a}{\xi})^{1/\zeta_{rm}} \sim (\frac{450}{70})^6 \sim 7 \times 10^4$ leading to $R_d/a \sim R_a/a \leq 10^6$. Assuming, conservatively, $R_d \sim 10^4 a$ and a longitudinal Larkin length comparable to the size of the sample in the c-axis direction, an estimate for the number of “independent fluctuators” obtained in noise measurements on 2H-NbSe₂ [33,12] can be obtained. For a sample in the shape of a thin platelet of transverse area $A \sim 1mm \times 1mm$ and with $A_d \sim R_d^2$, the number

of such fluctuators $N_f \sim \frac{A}{A_d} \sim 10^1 - 10^2$, numbers small enough to yield strong non-Gaussian effects [33].

Experimentally, behaviour in the mixed phase of low- T_c materials such as 2H-NbSe₂, CeRu₂, Ca₃Rh₄Sn₁₃, YNi₂B₂C and several related compounds exhibit a remarkable commonality [15,13]. In these relatively pure materials ($j_c/j_{dp} \sim 10^{-4}$) where thermal fluctuations are substantial (Ginzburg numbers $G_i \sim 10^{-4}$ here, as against typical values for low- T_c systems of about 10^{-8}), there is now considerable evidence for a two-step transition from a relatively ordered low- T phase into a highly disordered fluid phase [15,13]. This transition occurs via an intermediate and profoundly anomalous regime with glassy properties [13]. These experiments indicate that the two transition lines which separate the BrG from the DL phase can always be separately resolved. The data on NCCO [17] and (K,Ba)BiO₃ [18] are consistent with Fig. 2 but not with Fig. 1.

Hall-probe based magnetization measurements on BSCCO favour a single-step transition into the disordered liquid out of the BrG phase [37]. However, recent muon-spin rotation experiments see *two* transitions [21]. The asymmetry of the field distribution, $\alpha = \langle \Delta B^3 \rangle^{1/3} / \langle \Delta B^2 \rangle^{1/2}$, exhibits a first transition in which α jumps from a value of about 1.2 (consistent with a vortex-line crystal) to a value of about 1 (indicating a considerable degree of local translational order) as T is increased. Across a second transition boundary, obtained on further increasing T , α drops abruptly to values close to zero, characteristic of the fluid.

Early experiments on YBCO found that melting in relatively clean untwinned crystals was best described as a “complex, two-stage phenomenon” [39]. Recent simultaneous measurements of ac susceptibility and magnetization in this material [36] see a sharp peak effect in which the location of the peak in ac susceptibility correlates exactly to the magnetization jump which signals melting. The regime in which χ' shows non-trivial signatures of the transition is enlarged, compared to the magnetization jump which occurs at a sharply defined temperature, a feature which follows transparently from the discussion here – the

width of the transition region is related to the width of the sliver phase, while the most prominent signatures of melting should generically be obtained across a *line*, the MG-DL transition line.

When does the phase diagram of Fig. 1 approximate that of Fig 2? The sliver of MG phase is exceedingly narrow at intermediate H and for weak disorder, a consequence of the reduction of effective disorder in an interaction-dominated regime [13]. Thermal smoothening of disorder should reduce the width of this sliver further; thermal fluctuations smear the disorder potential $U_p(T)$ seen by a vortex line, strongly renormalizing the *effective* disorder if $[< u^2 >] \geq \xi^2$. For a single line pinned by quenched disorder $U_p(T) \sim U_p(0) \exp[-c(T/T_{dp})^3]$ [7,22] where $U_p(0)$ is the pinning potential per unit length at $T = 0$, c is a numerical constant and T_{dp} is the depinning temperature; $T_{dp} \sim (U_p^2 \xi^3 \epsilon_0 / \gamma^2)^{1/3}$ where $\epsilon_0 = (\Phi_0 / 4\pi\lambda)^2$, and γ is the mass anisotropy. For YBCO, $T_{dp} \sim 20 - 30K$ [40]. Such a substantial (exponential in the simple limit above) reduction in effective disorder should render the sliver unobservable in many types of experiments, yielding an *apparent* single melting transition out of the ordered phase.

The ratio $R = T_{dp}^{low} T_m^{high} / T_{dp}^{high} T_m^{low}$ measures the relative importance of thermal fluctuations in low and high T_c materials; T_m is the melting temperature in the pure case and we have approximated $T_m \sim T_c$. Using the following values: $NbSe_2$: $U_p = 10K/\text{\AA}$, $\xi = 70\text{\AA}$, $\lambda = 700\text{\AA}$, $\gamma = 5$ and $YBCO$: $U_p = 10K/\text{\AA}$, $\xi = 20\text{\AA}$, $\lambda = 1400\text{\AA}$, $\gamma = 50$ we obtain $R \sim 10^3$, suggestive of the relative importance of this effect to the high- T_c materials *vis. a vis.* its irrelevance in low- T_c systems except very close to H_{c2} . Experimentally, the nominal irreversibility line for $H \sim 3 - 7T$ in YBCO lies well *below* the melting line in weakly disordered samples [40]. Thus, thermal melting occurs in a nearly reversible regime. Local Hall probe-based susceptibility measurements on YBCO [41] find that j_c is actually finite below T_m but extremely small ($\sim 0.4A/cm^2$). These experiments see a peak effect close to and *below* $T_m(H)$, a feature *inaccessible* in usual SQUID based magnetization experiments. Other experiments at somewhat smaller H see a very clear and sharp peak effect [36], with properties similar to those in the low- T_c materials [13]. These results illustrate that sig-

nals of a two-step transition in high- T_c materials may be very hard to access, particularly if discontinuities in j_c or magnetization across the first transition are small. In contrast, experiments on the low- T_c materials discussed in Refs. [15,13] indicate an irreversibility line located in the *fluid* phase and provide clear evidence of a two-step transition.

Anomalously *small* values of j_c translate to anomalously *large* values of R_d . The magnetization discontinuity across the BrG-MG phase boundary should scale roughly as the density of unbound dislocations ρ_d in the MG phase for small $\rho_d \sim 1/R_a^2$, yielding $\Delta M \sim \Delta M_0 (a/R_a)^2$ where ΔM_0 is the magnetization jump in the pure system at T_m . Even if $R_a/a \sim 30$, the corresponding induction jump $\Delta B \sim \Delta M$ is of order $10^{-3}M_0$ or within noise levels in a typical experiment [37,32]. Thus, for sufficiently weak disorder, the sample may behave substantially as a single domain in the intervening MG phase, implying the absence of signals of the BrG-MG transition in a magnetization experiment and thus the phenomenology of Fig. 1.

The apparent vanishing of the sliver rationalizes the putative multicritical point [3,7] of Fig. 1. While the sliver may not be resolvable in some classes of experiments, it may be apparent in others, particularly those which probe local correlations. However, in the thermodynamic limit, provided other, unrelated direct instabilities to the liquid do not intervene, continuity suggests two transitions as in Fig. 2. In essence, the phenomenology of Fig. 1 *assumes* by *fiat* such an instability. There appears to be little justification for this assumption; we suggest that it need not hold. For more disordered superconductors, whether high- T_c or low- T_c , a two-step transition should generically be seen.

Many recent simulations, for example Ref. [27], see an intermediate field MG phase with translational correlations comparable to system sizes, although the dynamics changes abruptly across the BrG-MG transition. Interestingly, Ref. [27] concludes that the existence of a sliver of MG phase always preempting a direct BrG-DL transition cannot be ruled out, as in the recent simulations of Sugano *et. al.* [38].

In conclusion, it is suggested that the BrG phase in all disordered type-II superconductors *generically* transforms first into an intermediate glassy state on heating, rather than directly

into a liquid; the proposal of a generic two-step transition out of the disordered liquid state should be experimentally testable in thermodynamic measurements. More details will appear elsewhere [35].

I thank C. Dasgupta for a critical reading of the manuscript. These ideas evolved out of many discussions with S. Bhattacharya; his insights were crucial to this work. D.R. Nelson is thanked for a useful conversation regarding hexatic glasses. Support from a DST (India) Fast Track Fellowship for Young Scientists is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Blatter *et. al.*, Rev. Mod. Phys., **66**, 1125 (1994).
- [2] T. Giamarchi and P. Le Doussal, Phys. Rev. Lett **72**, 1530 (1994); Phys. Rev. B, **52**, 1242 (1995); *ibid.*, **55**, 6577 (1997).
- [3] P.L. Gammel, D.A. Huse and D.J. Bishop, in *Spin Glasses and Random Fields*, ed. A.P. Young, (World Scientific, Singapore, 1998); T. Giamarchi and P. le Doussal *ibid.*
- [4] D. S. Fisher, M. P. A. Fisher and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. B **43** 130 (1991).
- [5] G. I. Menon, C. Dasgupta and T.V. Ramakrishnan, Phys. Rev. B, **60** 7607 (1999).
- [6] T. Natterman and S. Scheidl, Advances in Physics, **49**, 607 (2000).
- [7] V. Vinokur *et. al.* Physica C, **295**, 209, (1998); D. Ertaz and D. R. Nelson, Physica C **272** 79 (1996).
- [8] J. Kierfeld and V.M. Vinokur, Phys. Rev. B, **61**, R14928, (2000).
- [9] B. Khaykovich *et. al.* Phys. Rev. B., **56** R517, (1997).
- [10] M.B. Gaifullin, *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett, **84**, 2945, (2000); C.J. van der Beek *et. al.*, *ibid.*, **84**, 4196, (2000).
- [11] M. J. Higgins and S. Bhattacharya, Physica C **257** 232 (1996).
- [12] S. S. Banerjee *et. al.* Physica C **308** 25 (1998); Phys. Rev. B **59** 6043 (1999); Phys. Rev. B, **58**, 995 (1998).
- [13] S.S. Banerjee *et. al.* *Physica C*, **355/1-2**, 39, (2001).
- [14] K. Tenya *et. al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn., **68**, 224, (1999).
- [15] S. S. Banerjee *et. al.*, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn Suppl. **69** 262 (2000).
- [16] S. Sarkar *et. al.* Phys. Rev. B, **61**, 12394 (2000).

- [17] D. Giller *et. al.* Phys. Rev. Lett, **79**, 2542, (1997).
- [18] T. Klein *et. al.*, J. Low. Temp. Phys., **117**, 1353, (1999).
- [19] A. Wisniewski *et. al.* in *Studies of High Temperature Superconductors Vol. 31*, ed. A.V. Narlikar, (Nova Science Publishers, NY, 2000).
- [20] V. Hardy *et. al.* Physica C, **232**, (1994) 347.
- [21] T. Blasius *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett, **82**, 4296, (1999).
- [22] D. R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. Lett, **60** 1973 (1988);
- [23] K. Ghosh *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett, **76** 4600 (1996).
- [24] Y. Paltiel *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett, **85**, 3712, (2000).
- [25] H.S. Bokil and A.P. Young, Phys. Rev. Lett, **74**, 3021, (1995).
- [26] D.R. Strachan *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett, **87**, 067007, (2001); B. Brown, Phys. Rev. B, **61**, 3267, (2000).
- [27] A. van Otterlo, R.T. Scalettar and G.T. Zimanyi, Phys. Rev. Lett, **81**, 1497, (1998).
- [28] F. Thalmann, C. Dasgupta and D. Feinberg, Europhys. Lett, **50** 54, (2000). This possibility was pointed out to the author by C. Dasgupta.
- [29] M.C. Marchetti and D.R. Nelson, Phys. Rev. B, **41**, 1910, (1990).
- [30] H.H. Strey *et. al.* Phys. Rev. Lett, **84**, 3105, (2000).
- [31] The increased importance of disorder at low fields should imply a weakening of the first-order behaviour of the MG-DL transition line of Fig. 2 close to H_{c1} , mirroring the behaviour at high-fields. This would suggest another tricritical point at the low-field end of the MG-DL transition line, as seen in some recent experiments.
- [32] A. Soibel *et. al.* Nature, **406**, 282, (2000)

- [33] R. Merithew *et. al.* Phys. Rev. Lett. **77** 3197 (1996).
- [34] S. Ooi, T. Shibauchi and T. Tamegai, Physica B, **284-288**, 775, (2000).
- [35] G.I. Menon, Phase Transitions, (*to appear*); G.I. Menon, *unpublished*.
- [36] T. Ishida, K. Okuda and H. Asaoka, Phys. Rev. B, **56**, 5128, (1997).
- [37] E. Zeldov *et. al.*, Nature, **365**, 375 (1995).
- [38] R. Sugano *et. al.* Physica B, **284-288**, 803, (2000).
- [39] G. d'Anna *et. al.* Europhys. Lett, **25**, 225, (1994); *ibid.* **25**, 539, (1994).
- [40] T. Nishizaki and N. Kobayashi, Supercon. Sci. Tech., **13**, 1, (2000);
- [41] B. Billon *et. al.*, Phys. Rev. B, **55**, R14753, (1997).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. The current view of the phase diagram of disordered type-II superconductors [3,6–8]. In addition to Meissner and normal phases, this phase diagram subdivides the mixed phase into three phases – the Bragg glass (BrG), the vortex glass (VG) and the disordered liquid (DL); these are described in the text. Note that the Bragg Glass phase melts directly into the liquid at intermediate field values.

FIG. 2. Proposed universal phase diagram for disordered type-II superconductors. The Meissner, normal, BrG and DL phases are as shown in Fig. 1. The MG phase (see text) intrudes between BrG and DL phases everywhere in the phase diagram. The inset expands the boxed region of the main figure, illustrating the reentrance of the BrG-MG phase boundary. Solid lines indicate discontinuous transitions.



